



Dear Andrew,

I am unfortunately unable to attend this Public Comment Period for the Preliminary & Final Subdivision Review Docket #: DRB-17-18. I have no direct comments for or against the proposal, however I do have one question/request I would like to have addressed at the meeting if it cannot be addressed prior to the meeting.

When reviewing the survey markers that have been placed as a part of the subdivision effort, it seems that the southeast border of our property was incorrectly marked. When comparing the map provided in Exhibit G – Existing Lot Configuration that was provided as a part of, DRB-17-18: Hall Sketch Plan Review (BL004 - 4 Blakey Road) against the boundaries generated electronically for other maps such as Exhibit L from the same location, and from the map of our own purchase of the property on 26 Blakey Road it appears that Exhibit G (and also the survey) used a map that does not reflect property changes since 1980 when the map was generated such as the 1997 subdivision of the 15.64 acres marked Sebring to Goetz in Exhibit G.

While I believe there should be no change between the two maps in regards to the border with the property currently under review, I have not been able to verify with our own maps that the borders are marked with the same dimensions as they were 38 years ago. I am asking that, if there are more current maps currently on record, that they be compared to the proposed subdivision maps to ensure that the dimensions used for the survey of the property being subdivided are the same.

As a note, I am not asking for a resurvey of either property. All I am asking is that we confirm that the property dimensions for the location under review match the current property dimensions for the location.

Thank you very much for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Kim Spaulding